

MSA TOBACCO PAYMENTS AND THE NON-PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURER (NPM) SETTLEMENT

(CONSENSUS FORECASTING GROUP)

J. MICHAEL JONES, PHD

OCTOBER 15, 2019

Office of State Budget Director

The Master Settlement Agreement

2

- ☐ The Master Settlement Agreement (MSA) resolved litigation brought by the States vs. major tobacco companies for costs incurred in treating smoking-related illnesses
- ☐ 52 States and Territories are collectively the “Settling States”
- ☐ The original, major tobacco companies that were sued are the “Original Participating Manufacturers” (OPMs)
- ☐ The tobacco companies that subsequently joined in the settlement are the “Subsequent Participating Manufacturers” (SPMs)

The Master Settlement Agreement

3

- OPMs and SPMs are subject to significant restrictions on advertising and marketing of tobacco products
- OPMs and SPMs have made and continue to make significant settlement payments to the Settling States
- The Commonwealth of Kentucky has received \$117.59 million in FY19 and \$2.198 billion in MSA payments in total

The Master Settlement Agreement

4

Three types of payments to the States:

Initial Payments (1998 and 2000-2003)

- \$12.7 Billion

Annual Payments (2000 - Perpetuity)

- \$207.9 Billion through 2025
- \$3.6 Billion to Kentucky through 2025
- Based on "Allocable Share" - For Kentucky – 1.76%

"Strategic Contribution Fund" payments

- \$8.6 Billion in 2008-2017
- \$50.7 Million to Kentucky in 2008-2017
- Not based on "Allocable Share" - For Kentucky – 0.75%

The Master Settlement Agreement

5

Three potential adjustments to the payments:

Inflation adjustment

- Greater of CPI growth or 3%

Volume adjustment

- Based on reductions relative to base volume

Non-participating manufacturers (NPM) adjustment

What is the NPM Adjustment?

6

- ☐ Tobacco companies that don't join the MSA are "Non-Participating Manufacturers" (NPMs) and are free from MSA's marketing restrictions and the settlement payment obligations
- ☐ To prevent the NPMs from having a significant advantage in the marketplace, the Settling States each passed a "Qualifying Statute" requiring NPMs to make escrow payments for the cigarettes sold in each State
- ☐ The states are required to "diligently enforce" the provisions of the MSA, though "diligent enforcement" is not defined
- ☐ The reduction in payments is punitive – payments are reduced by triple the net market share loss above 2%

NPM Adjustment Settlement

7

- ☐ The Commonwealth entered into a settlement agreement with the OPMs and the SPMs in June, 2014
- ☐ Settled the issue of the 2003-2012 NPM Adjustments and 2014-2017 have been settled subsequently
- ☐ Eliminated the budgetary impact of the adverse 2003 NPM Adjustment decision
- ☐ Reduces the volatility in future annual MSA payments – OPMs agree to withhold significantly smaller portion of potential NPM Adjustment
- ☐ Eliminated the need for costly litigation for more than decade
- ☐ When litigation is required, the Commonwealth's case will be stronger than the current case for diligence for past years
- ☐ Thirty-eight States and Territories have entered into settlements of the NPM Adjustment issue

October 2019 – Revenue Estimates

8

Preliminary Estimates

Fiscal Year 2020	\$111.1 Million
Fiscal Year 2021	\$106.9 Million
Fiscal Year 2022	\$103.1 Million