**Parties in *West Virginia v. EPA*, No. 24-1120 (D.C. Cir.)**

**Petitioners:**

24-1120 **25-State Coalition Consisting of:** West Virginia, Indiana, Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Wyoming\*

24-1121 Ohio and Kansas\*

**\*The total number of states challenging the rule is 27.**

24-1122 National Rural Electric Cooperative Association

24-1124 National Mining Association and America’s Power

24-1126 Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company

24-1128 Electric Generators for a Sensible Transition (This ad hoc coalition consists of Ameren Missouri; **American Electric Power Company, Inc.**; American Public Power Association; Appalachian Power Company; Arizona Public Service Company; **Duke Energy Corporation**; Evergy, Inc.; **Kentucky Power Company**; **Kentucky Utilities Company**; **Louisville Gas and Electric Company**; Monongahela Power Company; NorthWestern Energy Group, Inc.; NRG Energy, Inc.; NRG Texas Power LLC; Ohio Valley Electric Corporation; Public Service Company of Oklahoma; The Southern Company; Southwestern Electric Power Company; Talen Generation, LLC; Talen Montana Holdings, LLC; Vistra Corp.; and Wheeling Power Company (Kentucky utilities highlighted in **bold**.))

24-1142 United Mine Workers of America, AFL-CIO

24-1143 International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO

24-1144 International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Ship Builders, Blacksmiths, Forgers and Helpers, AFL-CIO

24-1146 Midwest Ozone Group

24-1152 Edison Electric Institute

24-1153 NACCO Natural Resources Corporation

24-1155 Idaho Power Company

24-1222 Appalachian Region Independent Power Producers Association

24-1226 Rainbow Energy Center, LLC

24-1227 Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.

24-1233 Westmoreland Mining Holdings LLC

**Respondents:**

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Michael S. Regan, Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency

**Intervenors Supporting Petitioners:**

Louisiana Public Service Commission

Tennessee Valley Public Power Association, Inc.

**Intervenors Supporting Respondents:**

* ***States\*\* and Other Governmental Entities:***
  + California Air Resources Board
  + Commonwealth of Massachusetts
  + Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
  + State of Arizona
  + State of Colorado
  + State of Connecticut
  + State of Delaware
  + State of Hawaii
  + State of Illinois
  + State of Maine
  + State of Maryland
  + State of Michigan
  + State of Minnesota
  + State of New Mexico
  + State of New York
  + State of North Carolina
  + State of Oregon
  + State of Rhode Island
  + State of Vermont
  + State of Washington
  + State of Wisconsin
  + State of New Jersey
  + District of Columbia
  + City and County of Denver
  + City of Boulder
  + City of Chicago
  + City of New York

**\*\***Note that if you count the California Air Resources Board as a state, 22 states are supporting EPA in defending the rule. This means that 49 of the 50 states have weighed in one side or the other in the litigation. The state that is missing is Nevada.

* ***Environmental and Public Health NGOs:***
  + American Lung Association
  + American Public Health Association
  + Clean Air Council
  + Clean Wisconsin
  + Natural Resources Defense Council
* ***Electric Generating Companies and Association:***
* Edison Electric Institute (Note that EEI is also a petitioner. It said in its motion to intervene that it wanted to defend certain aspects of the rule that it worried some parties would challenge—namely the retirement date subcategories.)
* Consolidated Edison, Inc.
* New York Power Authority
* Pacific Gas and Electric Company
* Power Companies Climate Coalition (consisting of Consolidated Edison, New York Power Authority, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Sacramento Municipal Utility District, and Los Angeles Department of Water and Power)
* Sacramento Municipal Utility District

**Movant-Amicus Curiae for Petitioners:**

The Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

**Movant-Amici Curiae for Respondents:**

Sierra Club

Professor Rachel Rothschild

Environmental Defense Fund