

Kentucky Retirement Systems

Public Pension Oversight Board

August 27, 2018



HIGHLIGHTS

- Investment Performance Good markets + Alpha = A GOOD YEAR
 - Pensions +8.6% Insurance +9.1%
 - 26th Percentile*

- Cash Flows
 - Pensions had negative cash flow (\$311 mil) vs (\$120 mil) in 2017
 - 2019 should be better
 - Fund assets grew by \$493 mil vs \$1,044 mil in FY2017
- SB2 Compliance
 - 18A and 45A transitions somewhat problematic
 - Traditional investment manager contract compliance met with limited resistance
 - Suggest using SEC Investment Adviser Codes of Ethics going forward
- Agency Opt-Out HB 362 Replacement
 - On target for December completion
 - Not an easy solution



Investment Background

- In the longest bull market in history – up 320%, nearly 10 years
- Interest rates still low by historic standards
- We are well diversified
- Fees are coming down

Pension- Investment Update 6/30/2018

Annual Rates of Return (Net of Fees)						
SYSTEMS	Market Value (\$ in millions)	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years	20 Years
KERS Non-Hazardous	\$20070	7.5%	6.2%	7.2%	6.0%	5.8%
Plan Index		7.2%	6.5%	7.2%	6.3%	5.9%
KERS Hazardous	\h4/ U	8.7%	7.1%	7.7%	6.2%	5.9%
Plan Index		8.2%	6.9%	7.5%	6.5%	6.0%
CERS Non-Hazardous	4 /1133/	8.8%	7.2%	7.7%	6.2%	5.9%
Plan Index		8.2%	6.9%	7.5%	6.5%	6.0%
CERS Hazardous	47 345 9	8.8%	7.2%	7.7%	6.2%	5.9%
Plan Index		8.2%	6.9%	7.5%	6.5%	6.0%
SPRS SPRS	\$257.0	7.7%	6.1%	7.0%	5.9%	5.8%
Plan Index		7.7%	6.5%	7.3%	6.3%	5.9%
Total Pension Fund	\$12,291.5	8.6%	7.0%	7.6%	6.2%	5.9%
Plan Index*		7.9%	6.9%	7.6%	6.5%	6.0%

^{*}Plan Index – KRS Blended Index

^{**} Weighted Composite of Individual Plans

Insurance - Investment Update 6/30/2018

Annual Rates of Return (Net of Fees)

SYSTEMS	Market Value (\$ in millions)	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years	20 Years
KERS Non-Hazardous	\$834.4	8.0%	6.9%	7.2%	5.3%	5.2%
Plan Index*		8.4%	7.1%	7.7%	6.0%	5.5%
KERS Hazardous	\$512.7	8.9%	7.3%	7.7%	5.5%	5.3%
Plan Index*		8.6%	7.2%	7.7%	6.0%	5.5%
CERS Non-Hazardous	\$2,333.4	9.2%	7.4%	7.8%	5.6%	5.3%
Plan Index*		8.6%	7.2%	7.8%	6.0%	5.5%
CERS Hazardous	\$1,264.0	9.3%	7.6%	7.8%	5.6%	5.3%
Plan Index*		8.6%	7.2%	7.8%	6.0%	5.5%
SPRS SPRS	\$189.1	9.3%	7.5%	7.8%	5.6%	5.3%
Plan Index*		8.6%	7.2%	7.8%	6.0%	5.5%
Total Pension Fund	\$5,133.6	9.1%	7.4%	7.7%	5.6%	5.3%
Plan Index**		8.5%	7.2%	7.9%	6.1%	5.5%

^{*}Plan Index – KRS Blended Index

^{**} Weighted Composite of Individual Plans



Risk/Return Analysis



Wilshire Consulting RISK / RETURN ANALYSIS KRS Pension Plan

Five Years Ending June 30, 2018



		Gross Fee Ret		Standard	Deviation
Description	Legend	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
KRS Pension Plan	Ω	8.20	58	4.49	59
KRS Allocation Index	1	7.73	68	5.10	28
Median		8.44		4.70	



CASH FLOW – Pension Fund (KERS Non-Haz, KERS Haz & SPRS) As of June 30, 2018 (\$ in millions)

	(+)					
	NON-HAZARDOUS		HAZARDOUS		SP	RS
Contributions	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18
Member Contributions	\$105.7	\$110.8	\$18.3	\$18.8	\$5.5	\$5.7
Employer Contributions/Appropriations	757.1	689.1	53.0	43.7	63.3	46.9
Northern Trust Settlement	-	0.3	-	0.9	-	-
Investment Income (Net of Expenses)	50.9	34.6	12.0	9.2	5.5	4.0
Total Cash Inflows	913.7	834.8	83.3	71.7	74.3	56.6
Benefit Payments/Refunds	960.3	981.0	63.3	68.1	57.0	58.8
Administrative Expenses	11.0	10.7	0.9	1.0	0.1	0.2
Total Cash Outflows	971.3	991.7	64.2	69.1	57.1	59.0
NET Cash Flow Before Gains/(Losses)	(57.6)	(156.9)	19.1	2.6	17.2	(2.4)
Realized Gain/Loss	80.7	148.7	28.6	50.2	10.5	17.7
NET Cash Flow w/ Realized Gains(Losses)	23.1	(8.2)	47.7	52.8	27.7	15.3
Unrealized Gain/(Loss)	89.4	(35.7)	30.4	(7.5)	10.7	(3.3)
Change in Net Plan Assets	112.5	(43.9)	78.1	45.3	38.4	12.0
Beginning of Period	\$1,980.3	\$2,092.8	\$527.8	\$605.9	\$218.0	\$256.4
End of Period	\$2,092.8	\$2,048.9	\$605.9	\$651.2	\$256.4	\$268.4

Note: Member contributions include the Health Insurance Contribution (1%) as required by HB1



CASH FLOW – Pension Fund (CERS-Non-Haz and CERS-Haz) As of June 30, 2018 (\$ in millions)

2. S. LE M. 3	CERS		HAZARI	Sous
Contributions	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18
Member Contributions	\$159.9	\$171.2	\$61.8	\$63.2
Employer Contributions	333.6	358.0	116.0	127.7
Northern Trust Settlement	-	0.4	-	0.1
Investment Income (Net of Expenses)	137.7	101.2	44.8	33.4
Contribution Inflow	631.2	630.8	222.6	224.4
Benefit Payments/Refunds	701.9	741.2	229.3	248.3
Administrative Expenses	19.7	19.6	1.4	1.5
Contribution Outflow	721.6	760.8	230.7	249.8
NET Cash Flow Before Gains(Losses)	(90.4)	(130.0)	(8.1)	(25.4)
Realized Gain/Loss	332.3	549.0	108.3	182.8
NET Cash Flow w/ Realized Gains/(Losses)	241.9	419.0	100.2	157.4
Unrealized Gain <mark>(Loss)</mark>	355.8	(71.8)	117.3	(24.0)
Change in Net Plan Assets	597.7	347.2	217.5	133.4
Beginning of Period	\$6,141.4	\$6,739.1	\$2,010.2	\$2.227.7
End of Period	\$6,739.1	\$7,086.3	\$2,227.7	\$2.361.1

Note: Member contributions include the Health Insurance Contribution (1%) as required by HB1.



CASH FLOW – Insurance Fund (KERS Non-Haz, KERS Haz & SPRS) As of June 30, 2018 (\$ in millions)

	NON-HAZ	PS ARDOUS	HAZARD	S	SPR	3
Contributions	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18
Employer Contributions	\$148.6	\$132.4	\$4.7	\$4.3	\$9.2	\$9.4
Insurance Premiums	0.2	0.2	-	-	-	-
Retired Reemployed Healthcare	3.8	4.1	0.9	1.0	-	-
Northern Trust Settlement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Investment Income (Net of Expenses)	15.6	11.4	9.8	6.1	3.5	2.1
Contribution Inflow	168.2	148.1	15.4	11.2	12.7	11.5
Healthcare Premiums	129.6	131.9	17.6	18.8	13.5	13.9
Administrative Expenses	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.1	-	0.1
Contribution Outflow	130.4	132.7	17.7	18.9	13.5	14.0
NET Cash Flow Before Gains(Losses)	37.8	15.4	(2.3)	(7.7)	(0.8)	(2.5)
Realized Gain/Loss	35.7	53.8	23.2	33.7	8.4	12.9
NET Cash Flow w/ Realized Gains/(Losses)	73.5	69.2	20.9	26.0	7.6	10.4
Unrealized Gain <mark>(Loss)</mark>	39.6	(3.9)	26.1	2.7	9.6	1.4
Change in Net Position	113.1	65.3	47.0	28.9	17.2	11.8
Beginning of Period	\$668.3	\$781.4	\$437.4	\$484.4	\$161.0	\$178.2
Ending of Period	\$781.4	\$846.7	\$484.4	\$513.3	\$178.2	\$190.0



CASH FLOW – Insurance Fund (CERS Non-Haz and CERS-Haz) As of June 30, 2018 (in \$millions)

\$15M	CERS		HAZAI	ROUS
Contributions	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18
Employer Contributions	\$117.3	\$120.8	\$50.7	\$55.0
Insurance Premiums	0.7	0.6	(0.3)	(0.2)
Retired Reemployed Healthcare	3.4	3.8	0.8	1.0
Northern Trust Settlement	-	0.1	-	-
Investment Income (Net of Expenses)	42.0	26.8	23.0	14.2
Contribution Inflow	163.4	152.1	74.2	70.0
Healthcare Premiums	125.4	135.9	70.6	75.4
Administrative Expenses	3.6	0.7	0.3	0.4
Contribution Outflow	129.0	136.6	70.9	75.8
NET Cash Flow Before Gains(Losses)	34.4	15.5	3.3	(5.8)
Realized Gain/Loss	100.5	155.1	55.5	85.1
NET Cash Flow Before w/ Realized Gains/(Losses)	134.9	170.6	58.8	79.3
Unrealized Gain <mark>(Loss)</mark>	117.1	15.6	64.4	9.7
Change in Net Position	252.0	186.2	123.2	\$89.0
Beginning of Period	\$1,908.5	\$2,160.6	\$1,056.1	\$1,179.3
End of Period	\$2,160.5	\$2,346.8	\$1,179.3	\$1,268.3



SB2 KRS IN COMPLIANCE WITH 18A AND 45A

Compared to the past, both 18A and 45A:

- Take a longer time period to complete the tasks
- Require more management, administrative time, and expense
- Provide less flexibility and responsiveness



SB2 INVESTMENT MANAGER CONTRACTS

- Using a "gating" process for all new potential managers
- Have CFA compliance statements from all traditional managers

SB2 CFA CODE OF ETHICS

Replace CFA Institute Code of Ethics and Standards of Professional Conduct and the CFA Institute Asset Manager Code of Professional Conduct with the SEC Investment Manager Code of Ethics.

REASONS:

- 1. Overall, the SEC requirement is the industry standard.
- 2. Both, the SEC and the CFA, require investment managers to comply with:
 - Prudent man rules
 - Customer protections
 - Ethical standards
- 3. The SEC is a governmental agency requiring registration whereas the CFA Institute is a voluntary association.
- 4. The SEC has punitive powers ("teeth") whereas the CFA Institute can only censure or expel members.
- 5. The SEC conducts periodical on-site audits whereas the CFA has no audit power.



SB2 TRANSPARENCY

The American Legislation Exchange Council wrote in their December 2017 Pension Report

- "Rather than merely presenting required information such as the actuarial valuation of assets and liabilities, Kentucky (KRS) provides the raw data along with computed key fundamentals."
- "In addition, the financial investment's actuarial and statistical sections of the report are laid out in a clear, organized, rationally flowing manner."



SB2 TRANSPARENCY

The American Legislation Exchange Council wrote in their December 2017 Pension Report

TRANSPARENCY LEADERS AND LAGGARDS					
MOST TRANSPARENT	LEAST TRANSPARENT				
Kentucky	Alabama				
Montana	California				
Nebraska	Georgia				
North Carolina	Louisiana				



HB 362 OPT-OUT Provision Replacement Project

- KRS to make recommendations by December, 2018
- Formed a four person task force:

David Eager John Chilton

Karen Roggenkamp Mark Blackwell

- Initial focus on 118-120 KERS Non-Haz quasi state agencies (\$3.5 B Unfunded Liabilities).
 - Roughly 1/3 each Health Departments, Mental Health Departments, Universities, Non P1 Agencies (\$0.2 B).
 - Can't afford 83% contribution or HB 351 withdrawal requirements.



HB 362 OPT-OUT Provision Replacement Project

- Explore options to maintain or reduce contribution rates.
- Consider alternative exit strategies.
- Explore how to apply findings to remaining plans.
- There will likely be a step phase-in and more than one option.