TO: Board of Trustees, Judicial and Legislators Retirement Plans
FROM: BPS\&M - Ken Hohman
DATE: April 3, 2015
RE: Proposed Changes to "Past Service Contribution"

At the Board meeting last week I was asked to offer language to fund unfunded liabilities on a more actuarially sound basis.

KRS Section 21.525 I believe reads as follows:

### 21.525 Contributions by state -- Normal contributions -- Past service liability contribution -- Employer costs for hybrid cash balance plan.

1) The state, by appropriation to the Judicial Retirement Board, shall contribute annually to the Judicial Retirement System an amount equal to the percent as computed under subsection (2) of this section of the creditable compensation of active members of the Judicial Retirement System, to be known as the "normal contributions," and an additional amount equal to one percent (1\%) of the unfunded past service liabilities, plus annual interest accruing thereon at the actuarially assumed rate of interest adopted by the board to be known as the "past service contribution."
2) The normal contribution rate shall be determined either by the entry age normal cost funding method or the unit credit actuarial method, as selected by the board. The past service liability shall be determined by actuarial methods consistent with the methods prescribed for determining the normal contribution rate. The board shall adopt the actuarial assumptions that are to be used in making the determinations.
3) Normal contributions and the past service liability contribution for each fiscal biennium shall be determined on the basis of the actuarial valuation last preceding the commencement of the biennium.
4) Employer costs for the hybrid cash balance plan as provided by KRS 21.402 shall be incorporated into the employer contribution rate of the Legislators' Retirement Plan and the Judicial Retirement Plan as a new benefit tier within the plans.

Our concern has been with the final phrase of subparagraph (1); i.e., and an additional amount equal to one percent (1\%) of the unfunded past service liabilities, plus annual interest accruing thereon at the actuarially assumed rate of interest adopted by the board to be known as the "past service contribution."

As we have discussed since our involvement with the plans, this is essentially equivalent to 25year, level dollar, rolling amortization of the full unfunded liability. There are several facets to be considered when designing an appropriate amortization policy; these include the following:

- Rolling vs. Fixed

Under a rolling amortization, the unfunded amount is recalculated every year (or at each valuation date) and amortized over the same number of years (e.g., 25 years); therefore, absent offsetting actuarial gains, the unfunded amount is never fully amortized. Under a fixed amortization, there is a clear ending date when the liability will be fully paid off.

The attached Exhibit A shows the annual payment and the remaining balance for a number of years if a $\$ 155,000,000$ (approximately the unfunded liability in the Judicial Plan at shown in the 2013 actuarial valuation) is amortized over a rolling 25 year period (essentially equivalent to the current methodology) versus a fixed 25 year period. As can be seen, under the fixed approach, the $\$ 155 \mathrm{MM}$ is completely eliminated after 25 years, but at that point in time, there is still a balance of $\$ 104 \mathrm{MM}$ under the rolling method. Even after 45 years, there is still over $\$ 75 \mathrm{MM}$ remaining under the rolling method.

We have stated in our reports that the rolling approach being used is not appropriate for the Judicial and Legislators' plans and strongly recommend a fixed period approach.

- Level Dollar vs. Level Percent of Pay

Frequently governments prefer to budget benefit costs as a percentage of payroll, and it is therefore sometimes desirable for the amortization method to maintain a cost that is relatively level as a percentage of payroll. However, the level percent of pay approach to amortizing a liability can result in a "negative" amortization - that is, an amortization payment that does not even cover the interest on the remaining liability.

Exhibit B shows the annual payment and the remaining balance using a 25 -year level amount amortization and a 25-year level percent of pay amortization of a $\$ 155 \mathrm{MM}$ amount. The level percent of pay approach uses the salary increase assumption currently being used by the Judicial and Legislators' plans. You will note that using level percent of pay results in a negative amortization for the first three years (i.e., the outstanding balance is growing).

We believe a level percent of pay approach that results in negative amortizations is not appropriate for the Judicial and Legislators' plans and recommend the stronger level dollar approach.

- Separate Amortization Period by Source of Liability

It is generally considered appropriate to determine separate liability amortization bases determined by the source of the liability. By examining the liability by source, we can attempt to amortize the liability over an appropriate time period to make sure sufficient funds are set aside to provide the benefits underlying the liability. For example, the initial liability associated with the establishment of the plan might be amortized over a long period, such as 30 years. Amendments that increase (or decrease) liabilities could be amortized over 20-25 years (unless the demographics of the group affected by the amendment would dictate a shorter period). A change in actuarial methods or assumptions might be amortized over a somewhat shorter period, e.g., 15-20 years. Actuarial gains and losses are typically amortized over an even shorter period on the theory that gains and losses over a period of years should balance each other out resulting in relatively small costs, e.g., 5-15 years.

There is a natural tension between using a longer period to dampen volatility in the amortization cost versus using a shorter period to assure generational equity.

We recommend that the unfunded liability as of the first valuation date following the effective date of this revised statute be amortized over 25 years from such valuation date (this maintains consistency with the current statute). Liabilities associated with future amendments would be amortized over 20 years. (Note liabilities for changes to benefit increases for currently retired participants could be amortized over an even shorter period but we are ignoring this possibility.) Liabilities related to changes in actuarial methods and assumptions would be amortized over 15 years, and gains and losses would be amortized over 10 years. As the Traditional Plan piece of the plan has an older participant group (since no new participants will join this part of the plan), shorter amortization period may become necessary.

The following is our suggested wording replacing 21.525(1):

1) The state, by appropriation to the Judicial Retirement Board, shall contribute annually to the Judicial Retirement System an amount equal to the percent as computed under subsection (2) of this section of the creditable compensation of active members of the Judicial Retirement System, to be known as the "normal contributions." In addition, unfunded liability bases will be established each year and the state shall, in addition to the normal contribution, contribute the sum of the following amounts, to be known as the "past service contribution":
a. The full unfunded past service liability (positive or negative) determined as of the first actuarial valuation date following the effective date of this provision, to be amortized over 25 years beginning on such valuation date;
b. The liability (positive or negative) associated with any amendment to the benefits provided by the System, to be amortized over 20 years beginning with the actuarial valuation date coincident with or next following the effective date of such amendment;
c. The liability (positive or negative) associated with a change in actuarial methods or actuarial assumptions, to be amortized over 15 years beginning with the actuarial valuation date for which such change becomes effective, and
d. Any actuarial gains and losses generated since the previous actuarial valuation date, to be amortized over 10 years beginning with the actuarial valuation date in which such gains and losses are first recognized.
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## EXHIBIT A

## 25-YEAR ROLLING VS. FIXED AMORTIZATION (Level Dollar)

 Starting Balance of $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$|  | -- Rolling Amortization - <br> Amortization <br> Remaining |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Payment | Balance |
| 1 | $\$$ | $12,430,495$ | | $\$$ |
| :---: |
| $152,549,370$ |
| 2 |

-     - Fixed Amortization - Amortization Remaining Payment Balance
\$ 12,430,495 \$ 152,549,370
12,430,495 149,927,195
12,430,495 147,121,469
12,430,495 144,119,341
12,430,495 140,907,065
12,430,495 137,469,929
12,430,495 133,792,194
12,430,495 129,857,017
12,430,495 125,646,378
12,430,495 121,140,995
12,430,495 116,320,234
12,430,495 111,162,020
12,430,495 105,642,732
12,430,495 99,737,094
12,430,495 93,418,060
12,430,495 86,656,695
12,430,495 79,422,033
12,430,495 71,680,946
12,430,495 63,397,982
12,430,495 54,535,211
12,430,495 45,052,045
12,430,495 34,905,058
12,430,495 24,047,780
12,430,495 12,430,495


## EXHIBIT B

## LEVEL DOLLAR VS. LEVEL PCT. OF PAY <br> Starting Balance of $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$

|  | - - Level Dollar $-\mathbf{c}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Amortization <br> Payment <br> Remaining <br> Balance |  |
| 1 | $12,430,495$ | $152,549,370$ |
| 2 | $12,430,495$ | $149,927,195$ |
| 3 | $12,430,495$ | $147,121,469$ |
| 4 | $12,430,495$ | $144,119,341$ |
| 5 | $12,430,495$ | $140,907,065$ |
| 6 | $12,430,495$ | $137,469,929$ |
| 7 | $12,430,495$ | $133,792,194$ |
| 8 | $12,430,495$ | $129,857,017$ |
| 9 | $12,430,495$ | $125,646,378$ |
| 10 | $12,430,495$ | $121,140,995$ |
| 11 | $12,430,495$ | $116,320,234$ |
| 12 | $12,430,495$ | $111,162,020$ |
| 13 | $12,430,495$ | $105,642,732$ |
| 14 | $12,430,495$ | $99,737,094$ |
| 15 | $12,430,495$ | $93,418,060$ |
| 16 | $12,430,495$ | $86,656,695$ |
| 17 | $12,430,495$ | $79,422,033$ |
| 18 | $12,430,495$ | $71,680,946$ |
| 19 | $12,430,495$ | $63,397,982$ |
| 20 | $12,430,495$ | $54,535,211$ |
| 21 | $12,430,495$ | $45,052,045$ |
| 22 | $12,430,495$ | $34,905,058$ |
| 23 | $12,430,495$ | $24,047,780$ |
| 24 | $12,430,495$ | $12,430,495$ |
| 25 | $12,430,495$ |  |


| - - Level Pct. of Pay - - |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Payment | Balance |
| 9,936,552 | 155,217,889 |
| 10,035,918 | 155,344,709 |
| 10,136,277 | 155,373,022 |
| 10,237,640 | 155,294,859 |
| 10,340,016 | 155,101,682 |
| 10,443,416 | 154,784,344 |
| 10,808,936 | 154,053,686 |
| 11,187,249 | 152,867,088 |
| 11,578,802 | 151,178,466 |
| 11,984,061 | 148,938,014 |
| 12,403,503 | 146,091,927 |
| 12,837,625 | 142,582,102 |
| 13,286,942 | 138,345,822 |
| 13,751,985 | 133,315,405 |
| 14,233,305 | 127,417,847 |
| 14,731,470 | 120,574,423 |
| 15,247,072 | 112,700,266 |
| 15,780,719 | 103,703,915 |
| 16,333,044 | 93,486,832 |
| 16,904,701 | 81,942,880 |
| 17,496,366 | 68,957,771 |
| 18,108,738 | 54,408,465 |
| 18,742,544 | 38,162,535 |
| 19,398,533 | 20,077,482 |
| 20,077,482 |  |

