



# **AI in Healthcare**

#### Cody Bumgardner, PhD

Director, Center for Applied Al Chief, Pathology Informatics Associate Professor Dept. Pathology and Computer Science





### **Spectrum of AI Abilities and Risk**

#### Narrow AI (AI):

- Developed for a single job or set of tasks
- Disease detection: benign or malignant?
- 950 FDA-authorized AI Medical Devices

#### **Generative AI (GenAI):**

- Seemingly cognitive capabilities and contextual understanding across a broader range of inputs (language, vision, etc.)
- Health Assistant: What programs or clinical trials do I personally qualify for?

#### **Artificial General Intelligence (AGI):**

- Self-instructing
- Design a new drug for X



https://www.plugger.ai/blog/general-ai-vs-narrow-ai-2022-guide



### **Predicting Extubation Readiness in Preterm Infants**



The Journal of Pediatrics

Brasher, M.D., Virodov, M.S., Raffay, M.D., Bada, M.D., M.P.H., Cunningham, M.D., Bumgardner, Ph.D., Jawdeh M.D., Ph.D.

**Objective**: To predict extubation readiness in preterm infants using machine learning analysis of bedside pulse oximeter and ventilator data.

**Study Design**: This is an observational study with prospective recordings of oxygen saturation  $(SpO_2)$  and ventilator data from infants < 30 weeks gestation age (GA). Research pulse oximeters collected  $SpO_2$  (1 Hz sampling rate) to quantify intermittent hypoxemia (IH). Continuous ventilator metrics were collected (4-5 min sampling) from bedside ventilators.

Institute for

**Biomedical Informatics** 

Top performing models by data source and subset population.									
Data source	Population	n Algorithm		AUC	Sens	Spec	PPV	NPV	
IH + SIMV	All	65	Random Forest	0.77	0.59	0.91	0.74	0.84	
	Age < 2 wks	24	Random Forest	0.94	0.78	0.85	0.78	0.86	
	Age ≥ 2 wks	41	XGBoost	0.83	0.42	0.97	0.83	0.83	
IH	All	73	SGDClassifier	0.74	0.47	0.81	0.50	0.80	
	Age < 2 wks	27	XGBoost	0.77	0.56	0.72	0.42	0.79	
	Age ≥ 2 wks	46	XGBoost	0.72	0.17	0.91	0.33	0.76	
SIMV	All	100	Random Forest	0.71	0.13	0.88	0.31	0.75	
	Age < 2 wks	51	Bagging	0.87	0.80	0.78	0.60	0.90	
	Age ≥ 2 wks	49	XGBoost	0.71	0.36	0.92	0.75	0.83	

THE JOURNAL OF

March 2024 - https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38561049/

#### FAST Ultrasound (Focused Assessment with Sonography in Trauma)

## Artificial intelligence evaluation of focused assessment with sonography in trauma

Brittany E Levy <sup>1</sup>, Jennifer T Castle, Alexandr Virodov, Wesley S Wilt, Cody Bumgardner, Thomas Brim, Erin McAtee, Morgan Schellenberg, Kenji Inaba, Zachary D Warriner

Detect positivity and adequacy of FAST examinations with **94%** and **97%** accuracy, aiding in the standardization of care delivery with **minimal expert clinician input.** 



**Biomedical Informatics** 

Institute for





## **Hospital Operations**

#### 1. Queueing Model

- Modeling hospitals/EDs and sources of patients
- Edges are "queues" where patients wait to be serviced
- Arrival and service functions define flow of patients through system
- Queue data can be analyzed at different points in time



#### 2. Prediction

- Can number of ED arrivals on a given day be predicted?
- Would help with staffing requirements and control of clinic transfers
- Uses many predicted values to make forecasts
  - Temperature, precipitation, snow, atmospheric pressure, air quality, and more



### **Strategic Planning in Healthcare**

- Optimized estimates for CMS rankings
- Determine systemspecific issues
- Provide tools to recalculate score on demand

Feature	SD's
ED_2B	-5.90
OP_13	-2.85
SEP_1	-2.51
PSI_4_SURG_COMP	-2.44
PC_01	-2.24
EDAC_30_PN	-1.81
MORT_30_AMI	-1.67
EDAC_30_HF	-1.61
PSI_90_SAFETY	-1.60
READM_30_HOSP_WIDE	-0.89

#### **CMS Score Approximator**

Provider ID: 180067

#### **Mortality Measures**

MORT_3	30_AI	MI:				.144	
MORT_3	30_CA	ABG				.03	33
MORT_3	30_C0	OPD:				08.	2
MORT_3	30_HI	F: 🗲				132	
MORT_3	30_PN	J: 🗲				163	
MORT_3	30_ST	K:				.141	
PSI_4_S	URG_		MP: 🧲				212.85
					a		
	Minii	num	Maxim	um			
STAR: 1	-2.208	3733	-0.8833	60			
STAR: 2	-0.877	7353	-0.4086	83			
STAR: 3	-0.400	5907	-0.0435	01			
STAR: 4	-0.042	2455	0.34385	58			
STAR: 5	0.347	313	1.38767	17			
					J		
Mortality	Score	Safet	y Score	Rea	dmission S	Score	Patient Experience Scor
-0.54323		-0.12	2939	-0.3	40259		0.056925

	Variable Weight
PSI_4_SURG_COMP	-0.118523
ED_2B	-0.108400
MORT_30_AMI	-0.081034
EDAC_30_PN	-0.069375
PSI_90_SAFETY	-0.067217
EDAC_30_HF	-0.059938
OP_13	-0.052428
MORT_30_HF	-0.048141
SEP_1	-0.046385
PC_01	-0.041369
READM_30_HOSP_WIDE	-0.033152
HAI_5	-0.023096
OP_36	-0.019745
MORT_30_STK	-0.016313
MORT_30_PN	-0.014856
MORT_30_CABG	-0.013873
OP_35_ED	-0.012089
HAI_1	-0.010227
EDAC_30_AMI	-0.009778
H_COMP_3_STAR_RATING	G -0.008769
U CLOD STAD DATING	0.000260
Process Scor Summary Sco	re STAR Rating

-0.417523

2

-1.738601

### **Public Health: Overdose Forecasting**

- Covariates can be used to greatly improve forecast accuracy
- There are differences across model performance based on race, that can't be accounted for in dataset distribution

#### Overdose, by Race and Ethnicity

Institute for

**Biomedical Informatics** 

Stratification	MAPE Score
All opioid	18%
Hispanic	17%
Non-Hispanic White	30%
Non-Hispanic Black	50%

MAPE Interpretation				
< 10 %	Very good			
10 % - 20 %	Good			
20 % - 50 %	ОК			
> 50 %	Not good			





### **Generative Models**

#### **Foundational Models**

- Text, imaging, timeseries (EKG, eICU), genomics, etc.
- Observe large volumes of data and provide numeric characterizations of inputs (Cancer, Alzheimer's, etc. features)
- Allows us to holistically leverage medical data across disciplines like never before



	age	S
<u> </u>		35
		66
		43
		68
		40
		27

age 🔻	sex 💌	race 💌	alb 💌	tlc 💌	f_0 💌	f_1	<b>•</b>		f_767	•
35	0	1	3.2	0.58	0.019998	8 -0.021	9 0.526705	92	0.0989	22
66	0	1	2.9	0.72	0.110704	-0.3114	2 -0.00740	99	-0.069	65
43	1	1	1.2	1.7	-0.20204	0.09892	2 0.019998	03	-0.007	41
68	1	1	3.3	0.91	0.526706	6 -0.2020	4 0.110704	08	0.0199	98
40	1	1	1.6	1.12	-0.06965	0.01999	8 -0.02190	24	-0.311	42
27	1	1	3.7	2.02	-0.31142	2 -0.2020	4 0.110704	08	0.5267	06
31	0	1	2.8	0.87	0.098922	2 -0.0696	5 -0.02190	24	-0.202	04

#### Case Data

Image + Genomic



### Al Assistants and Agents (Today)

### For Patient:

- Interpret questions
- Provide curated information
- Distill responses

### For Provider:

- Summarize/locate information
- Act as research agent:
  - PubMed discovery, phenotype identification, etc.
  - EMR -> clinicaltrails.gov



### **OptimalCT: AI-Assisted Structured Survey Response**





#### **Physical interface to AI Assistants**

#### **Robotic assistants**

- Interface for telemedicine, wayfinding, and AI assistants
- Currently deployed in hospitals and elder care
- AI & Smell Integration
- ~\$6k each







### What others are doing

- University at Buffalo: FY 2025 Budget Includes 10-Year, \$275 Million Investment to Create a State-of-the-Art Artificial Intelligence Computing Center <u>https://www.budget.ny.gov/pubs/press/2024/fy25-enacted-budget-launches-empire-ai-consortium.html</u>
- University of Florida \$140 Million in state funding for a new Data Center, plus \$15 million per year from the State of Florida to hire 100 new faculty members. <u>https://www2.datainnovation.org/2022-ai-universities.pdf</u>
- Oregon State University: During the 2023 Oregon legislative session, OSU received over \$70 million in state-paid bonding to match philanthropic and university contributions to the collaborative innovation complex.
  <a href="https://leadership.oregonstate.edu/huang-cic/faqs">https://leadership.oregonstate.edu/huang-cic/faqs</a>

### Considerations

- Infrastructure
  - Historically, many states have launched and supported high-performance/scientific computing consortiums, is it time for Kentucky to do the same?
- Patients
  - Right to privacy is clear, but what about data sharing rights?
  - The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) today released a final rule that establishes disincentives for health care providers that have committed information blocking. <u>https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2024/06/24/hhs-finalizes-rule-establishing-</u> <u>disincentives-health-care-providers-that-have-committed-information-blocking.html</u>
- State
  - Should Kentucky patients be enrolled by default in a state-wide medical registry (could be deidentified data), like we do with cancer patients?
  - Foundational models for Kentucky patients could be developed with completely anonymized data and distributed back to the community.
  - Could our state laboratory be extended to include "send-out" AI-based analysis?
  - Should our state provide/support expertise in the use and validation of AI for clinical care? Not all AI health tools with regulatory authorization are clinically validated <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-024-03203-3</u>