Legislator: Stephen Meredith

Bill Draft Summary 2026 REGULAR SESSION

BR 988

Document ID: 1651

INDEX HEADINGS	INDEX ENTRIES
4360	Accountable Communities for Health, Medicaid, feasibility study, requirement
5240	Accountable Communities for Health, feasibility study, requirement
5540	Accountable Communities for Health, feasibility study, requirement
7373	Legislative Research Commission, Accountable Communities for Health, feasibility study, requirement
7765	20 by 30 Accountable Care Pilot Project
8010	Legislative Research Commission, Accountable Communities for Health, feasibility study, requirement
0540	Accountable Communities for Health, Feasibility study, potential geographic regions

Direct the Legislative Research Commission to procure a vendor to conduct a feasibility study for an Accountable Communities for Health Medicaid delivery model pilot project; require the results of the study to be submitted to the Legislative Research Commission by November 1, 2026; strongly encourage the Legislative Research Commission to begin the procurement process immediately upon the adoption of this Resolution; establish that a pilot project resulting from the feasibility study shall be known as the 20 by 30 Accountable Care Pilot Project.

1	A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION directing the Legislative Research Commission
2	to procure a vendor to conduct a feasibility study for an Accountable Communities for
3	Health Medicaid delivery model pilot project.
4	WHEREAS, Kentucky persistently ranks among the worst states nationally for key
5	health indicators, including chronic disease prevalence, maternal health outcomes,
6	tobacco use, and preventable hospitalizations; and
7	WHEREAS, many Kentuckians are living with three or more chronic health
8	conditions, including asthma, kidney disease, heart disease, cancer, and diabetes; and
9	WHEREAS, Kentucky's health issues are deeply tied to the social and economic
10	conditions of rural communities, which remain inadequately and insufficiently addressed;
11	and
12	WHEREAS, Kentucky has operated its Medicaid program primarily under a
13	managed care delivery model since 2010; and
14	WHEREAS, the current Medicaid delivery model employed in the Commonwealth
15	has failed to produce valuable outcomes as Medicaid expenditures have continued to
16	increase while health outcomes have deteriorated and disparities have widened; and
17	WHEREAS, the transition from a fee-for-service Medicaid program to a managed
18	care model was chiefly motivated by a belief that contracting with Medicaid managed
19	care organizations to administer large portions of the Medicaid program would result in
20	budget stability and predictability; and
21	WHEREAS, despite the transition to managed care under former Governor Steve
22	Beshear, since 2010 the cost of the Kentucky Medicaid program has skyrocketed,
23	increasing from approximately \$5,900,000,000 a year in 2010 to a projected amount of
24	over \$20,600,000,000 a year in 2026; and
25	WHEREAS, since 2010, the number of Kentuckians enrolled in the Medicaid
26	program has risen from roughly 920,000 to approximately 1,400,000 in 2025, an increase
27	of roughly 50 percent resulting largely from former Governor Steve Beshear's decision to

1	expand Medicaid eligibility under the Affordable Care Act in 2014; and
2	WHEREAS, expanded Medicaid eligibility and the resulting 50 percent increase in
3	enrollment fails to explain the nearly 400 percent increase in the cost of the program over
4	the same period of time; and
5	WHEREAS, the historic trend of rapid cost increases in the Medicaid program has
6	not resulted in enhanced reimbursement rates for rural healthcare providers; and
7	WHEREAS, access to essential, comprehensive healthcare services in rural
8	communities continues to erode under the managed care delivery model, underscoring the
9	urgent need for targeted interventions to reverse this trend; and
10	WHEREAS, under federal law, Medicaid managed care organizations are required
11	to achieve a minimum medical loss ratio of at least 85 percent, which means that at least
12	85 cents of every dollar paid to a managed care organization by a state Medicaid program
13	must be spent on the delivery of healthcare services for Medicaid enrollees; and
14	WHEREAS, current contracts between the Department for Medicaid Services and
15	the Commonwealth's five contracted Medicaid managed care organizations require
16	managed care organizations to achieve at least a 90 percent medical loss ratio; and
17	WHEREAS, federal and state established medical loss ratios for Medicaid managed
18	care organizations have the effect of limiting a managed care organization's profit from a
19	Medicaid managed care contract to no more than 10 percent of the total contract value;
20	and
21	WHEREAS, the five managed care organizations currently under contract with the
22	Department for Medicaid Services to administer Medicaid benefits in Kentucky are all
23	either publicly traded, for-profit corporations or owned by a publicly traded, for-profit
24	corporations; and
25	WHEREAS, publicly traded, for-profit corporations have a legally binding
26	fiduciary duty to their shareholders to increase profits quarter over quarter and year over

27

year; and

1	WHEREAS, existing medical loss ratio requirements effectively mean that the only
2	way a contracted Medicaid managed care organization can fulfill its fiduciary duty to
3	shareholders to increase profits is to see an increase in the overall cost of the Medicaid
4	program, typically by increasing the per member per month capitation payments made by
5	the state to the managed care organizations; and
6	WHEREAS, Kentucky's current health data landscape is fragmented and lacks a
7	unified, inclusive dataset spanning the full continuum of care, limiting its effectiveness in
8	guiding informed health policy and appropriations; and
9	WHEREAS, Kentucky's healthcare system remains fragmented, with hospitals,
10	clinics, schools, social service organizations, and managed care organizations often
11	operating in silos, which has resulted in reactive care that seeks to treat symptoms rather
12	than coordinated strategies that tackle root causes of illness; and
13	WHEREAS, Kentucky must identify proven strategies to unite healthcare
14	providers, coordinate care, and connect communities while holding the entire system
15	accountable for both outcomes and costs; and
16	WHEREAS, the current cost of the Kentucky Medicaid program, paired with the
17	historical trend of rapid cost increases, is unsustainable and represents a catastrophic
18	threat to the stability and solvency of the Commonwealth's entire biennial budget; and
19	WHEREAS, given the current Medicaid landscape in Kentucky, as described
20	above, the Commonwealth must endeavor to identify a less costly and more sustainable
21	alternative to the current managed care delivery model; and
22	WHEREAS, transformative healthcare delivery models are reshaping access to care
23	and improving health outcomes across the United States; and
24	WHEREAS, an increasing number of states are seeing positive results, including
25	reduced costs and significant improvements in healthcare outcomes, by transitioning
26	away from managed care toward an accountable care delivery model; and
27	WHEREAS, accountable care organizations (ACO) prioritize whole-person care,

1	adopt value-based payment models over volume-driven approaches, and incorporate
2	mechanisms for shared savings and financial risk; and
3	WHEREAS, accountable communities for health (ACH) aim to improve population
4	health by fostering regional collaboration, investing in community-based supports, and
5	advancing policies that promote and sustain healthier communities; and
6	WHEREAS, ACO and ACH models represent more strategic, provider-endorsed,
7	community-led models that enhance health outcomes while driving cost efficiencies; and
8	WHEREAS, ACO and ACH models are proven Medicaid delivery models currently
9	producing positive outcomes for state Medicaid programs across the United States and
10	have demonstrated that smarter investments in prevention and access to care can reduce
11	the costs of a state's Medicaid program while improving healthcare outcomes; and
1.2	WHEREAS, by implementing a comprehensive community-driven alternative
13	healthcare delivery model that integrates physical, behavioral, and spiritual care while
14	addressing the social conditions in which people live, work, play, and learn, the
15	Commonwealth could realize a 20 percent improvement in both patient and provider
16	satisfaction and significant, measurable gains in overall population health by 2030; and
17	WHEREAS, Kentucky's area development districts have an established track record
18	for delivering community-based Medicaid services tailored to the needs of specific
19	geographic regions; and
20	WHEREAS, Kentucky's area development districts serve a large enough population
21	to effectively evaluate and benchmark the impact of an ACH delivery model on
22	improving outcomes and reducing costs;
23	NOW, THEREFORE,
24	Be it resolved by the Senate of the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of
25	Kentucky, the House of Representatives concurring therein:
26	→ Section 1. The Legislative Research Commission is hereby directed to procure a

vendor to conduct a feasibility study for an Accountable Communities for Health

27

- 1 Medicaid delivery model pilot project. The feasibility study shall assess, consider, and
- 2 make recommendations concerning the following:
- 3 (1) Examples of state Medicaid programs that have implemented an accountable
- 4 care Medicaid delivery model, including but not limited to accountable care
- 5 organizations, accountable communities for health, and accountable health community
- 6 models, to identify best practices and potential governance structure suitable for
- 7 Kentucky;
- 8 (2) Opportunities, barriers, and organizational capacity for implementing an
- 9 Accountable Communities for Health Medicaid delivery model pilot project under the
- 10 Kentucky Medicaid program;
- 11 (3) Potential geographic regions and partners suitable for an Accountable
- 12 Communities for Health Medicaid delivery model pilot project, including specific
- 13 assessment of the Lincoln Trail Area Development District, Barren River Area
- 14 Development District, and Green River Area Development District as an appropriate
- 15 geographic region for the pilot project;
- 16 (4) Existing health information exchange, data-sharing capacity, and
- 17 interoperability of various data systems, including eligibility data, across Medicaid,
- 18 providers, and social service systems to identify any necessary infrastructure
- developments for a successful Accountable Communities for Health Medicaid delivery
- 20 model pilot project;
- 21 (5) Options for financing an Accountable Communities for Health Medicaid
- 22 delivery model pilot project, including anticipated costs, potential cost savings,
- 23 sustainability, and funding sources with specific emphasis on identifying options for
- 24 diverting current per member, per month capitation payments made to managed care
- organizations to the pilot project;
- 26 (6) Creation of a nonprofit mutual insurance company as an alternative to for-
- 27 profit insurance companies and Medicaid managed care organizations for administering

- an Accountable Communities for Health Medicaid delivery model pilot project, including
- 2 claims processing and provider payments;
- 3 (7) Potential pilot models, policy changes, and implementation pathways,
- 4 including necessary next steps to design and implement an Accountable Communities for
- 5 Health Medicaid delivery model pilot project;
- 6 (8) Strategies and metrics for evaluating the success of a future Accountable
- 7 Communities for Health Medicaid delivery model pilot project, including key metrics and
- 8 outcomes to be reported, monitored, and evaluated; and
- 9 (9) Any other issues or aspects of a feasibility study or an Accountable
- 10 Communities for Health Medicaid delivery model pilot project determined to be
- 11 necessary or appropriate by the Legislative Research Commission.
- → Section 2. The results of the feasibility study required under Section 1 of this
- 13 Resolution shall be submitted to the Legislative Research Commission by November 1,
- 14 2026, for referral to the Interim Joint Committee on Health Services, the Interim Joint
- 15 Committee on Appropriations and Revenue, and the Medicaid Oversight and Advisory
- 16 Board.
- → Section 3. Whereas it is essential that the General Assembly obtain information
- on the feasibility of an accountable care pilot project and the potential benefits of a
- transition to accountable care prior to the 2027 Regular Session, the Legislative Research
- 20 Commission is strongly encouraged to begin the procurement process required under
- 21 Section 1 of this Resolution immediately upon the adoption of this Resolution by both
- 22 chambers of the General Assembly.
- → Section 4. A pilot project resulting from the feasibility study required under
- 24 Section 1 of this Resolution shall be known as the 20 by 30 Accountable Care Pilot
- 25 Project.
- → Section 5. Provisions of this Resolution to the contrary notwithstanding, the
- 27 Legislative Research Commission shall have the authority to alternatively assign the

- 1 issues identified herein to an interim join committee or subcommittee thereof, and to
- 2 designate a study completion date.
- 3 → Section 6. Provisions of this Resolution to the contrary notwithstanding, the
- 4 Legislative Research Commission shall have the authority to define the scope of work for
- 5 any contract entered into by the Legislative Research Commission and a vendor under
- 6 Section 1 of this Resolution.