

STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS
(Amendment)

31 KAR 4:050. Removal procedure for precinct election officers.

RELATES TO: KRS 117.045(8)~~(6)~~

STATUTORY AUTHORITY: KRS 117.015(1)(a), 117.045(8).

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT: This is to certify that this administrative regulation complies with the requirements of 2025 RS HB6, Section 8.

NECESSITY, FUNCTION, AND CONFORMITY: KRS 117.015(1)(a) authorizes the State Board of Elections to promulgate administrative regulations necessary to properly carry out its duties. KRS 117.045(8) requires the State Board of Elections to provide, through administrative regulation, for the method and manner of a hearing to determine if the removal of an election officer is warranted. This administrative regulation exists to provide a hearing procedure for the removal of an election officer.

Section 1. KRS 117.045(8)~~(6)~~ provides that the State Board of Elections may require ~~a~~~~the~~ county board of elections to submit its list of precinct election officers for review. The State Board of Elections may, after a hearing, direct the removal of any election officer who the State Board finds, based upon a preponderance of clear and convincing evidence, would not fairly administer the state election laws. The State Board may initiate removal proceedings against~~shall replace~~ any election officer ~~to be removed~~ upon the sworn complaint of any person, or on its own initiative.~~;~~ The State Board of Elections shall investigate alleged violations of the election laws, or the failure to properly carry out the election laws by any precinct election officer. When the State Board of Elections concludes that there is evidence to believe an election officer, or officers, has not or would not fairly administer the election laws, it may hold a hearing to determine if such officer has not, or would not fairly administer the election laws. In such event, the State Board of Elections shall notify the complainant, if any, and the person complained against that a hearing shall be conducted of the specific offenses alleged not less than seven (7)~~thirty (30)~~ days prior to the date of the hearing. At the hearing, the person complained against shall have all of the protections of due process, including, but not limited to, the right to be represented by counsel, the right to call and examine witnesses, the right to the production of evidence by subpoena, the right to introduce exhibits and the right to cross examine opposing witnesses. ~~If~~~~When~~ the State Board~~registry~~ determines that the preponderance of the evidence shows that the election officer has failed to fairly administer the election laws of the state, or has taken such action as to constitute a violation of the election laws, it shall issue an order removing that election officer.

Section 2. Upon the issuance of any order requiring the removal of an election officer, the county board of elections in the county in which the officer served shall within ten (10) days from the date of issuance of the order by the State Board of Elections submit to the State Board of Elections a new list of officers for that precinct, or precincts. The State Board of Elections shall then appoint from that list a new officer or officers to serve during the remaining term.

Section 3. If the State Board of Elections concludes~~conclude~~ that there is probable cause to believe that an election officer has willfully violated the election laws, it shall refer such violation to the attorney general for prosecution. The attorney general may request the appropriate county or Commonwealth's attorney to prosecute the matter and may request from the State Board of Elections all evidence collected in its investigation.

KAREN SELLERS, Executive Director

APPROVED BY AGENCY: October 13, 2025

FILED WITH LRC: October 14, 2025 AT 11:55 a.m.

PUBLIC HEARING AND COMMENT PERIOD: A public hearing on this administrative regulation shall be held on December 22, 2025, at 11:00 a.m. ET, at the Office of the State Board of Elections. Individuals interested in being heard at this hearing shall notify this agency in writing by five (5) workdays prior to the hearing, of their intent to attend. If no notification of intent to attend the hearing was received by that date, the hearing may be cancelled. This hearing will not be made unless a written request for a transcript is made. If you do not wish to be heard at the public hearing, you may submit written comments on the proposed administrative regulation. Written comments shall be accepted until December 31, 2025. Send written notification of intent to be heard at the public hearing or written comments on the proposed administrative regulation to the contact person.

CONTACT PERSON: Taylor Brown, General Counsel, 140 Walnut Street, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601, Phone: (502) 782-9499, Email: TaylorA.Brown@ky.gov.

REGULATORY IMPACT ANALYSIS AND TIERING STATEMENT

Contact Person:Taylor Brown

Subject Headings:Elections and Voting; County Clerks; Local Governments

(1) Provide a brief summary of:

(a) What this administrative regulation does:

This administrative regulation provides a hearing procedure for the removal of an election officer.

(b) The necessity of this administrative regulation:

This administrative regulation is necessary to maintain the maximum degree of correctness, impartiality, and efficiency in the procedures of voting.

(c) How this administrative regulation conforms to the content of the authorizing statutes:

KRS 117.015(1)(a) authorizes the State Board of Elections to promulgate administrative regulations necessary to properly carry out its duties.

(d) How this administrative regulation currently assists or will assist in the effective administration of the statutes:

This administrative regulation assists in maintaining the maximum degree of correctness, impartiality, and efficiency in the procedures of voting.

(2) If this is an amendment to an existing administrative regulation, provide a brief summary of:

(a) How the amendment will change this existing administrative regulation:

This amendment updates statutory citations, unifies language to require a preponderance of the evidence against the accused before removal, and shortens the notice of hearing period from 30 days to 7 days.

(b) The necessity of the amendment to this administrative regulation:

This amendment is necessary to define the evidentiary standard needed for removal and to better reflect the timeframe in which an election officer may need to be removed.

(c) How the amendment conforms to the content of the authorizing statutes:

KRS 117.015(1)(a) authorizes the State Board of Elections to promulgate administrative regulations necessary to properly carry out its duties.

(d) How the amendment will assist in the effective administration of the statutes:

This amendment will assist in maintaining the maximum degree of correctness, impartiality, and efficiency in the procedures of voting.

(3) Does this administrative regulation or amendment implement legislation from the previous five years?This amendment does not implement legislation from the previous five years.

(4) List the type and number of individuals, businesses, organizations, or state and local governments affected by this administrative regulation:

This administrative regulation will affect voters of the Commonwealth, county boards of election, election officers, and the State Board of Elections.

(5) Provide an analysis of how the entities identified in question (4) will be impacted by either the implementation of this administrative regulation, if new, or by the change, if it is an amendment, including:

(a) List the actions that each of the regulated entities identified in question (4) will have to take to comply with this administrative regulation or amendment:

. To comply with this amendment, entities will need to observe the evidentiary standard and notice of hearing timeframe.

(b) In complying with this administrative regulation or amendment, how much will it cost each of the entities identified in question (4):

The State Board of Elections estimates that the implementation of this administrative regulation will have minimal costs.

(c) As a result of compliance, what benefits will accrue to the entities identified in question (4):

Compliance with this new administrative regulation will benefit all by assisting in maintaining the maximum degree of correctness, impartiality, and efficiency in the procedures of voting.

(6) Provide an estimate of how much it will cost the administrative body to implement this administrative regulation:

(a) Initially:

The cost of the implementation of this administrative regulation for the State Board of Elections will be minimal.

(b) On a continuing basis:

The continuing costs of this administrative regulation for the State Board of Elections will be minimal.

(7) What is the source of the funding to be used for the implementation and enforcement of this administrative regulation or this amendment:

Funds from the State Board of Elections' administrative budget will be used in the implementation and enforcement of this administrative regulation.

(8) Provide an assessment of whether an increase in fees or funding will be necessary to implement this administrative regulation, if new, or by the change if it is an amendment:

Implementation of this administrative regulation can be achieved without an increase in fees or funding by the General Assembly.

(9) State whether or not this administrative regulation establishes any fees or directly or indirectly increases any fees:

No fees are associated with this administrative regulation.

(10) TIERING: Is tiering applied?

Tiering is not used in this administrative regulation, as a desired result of the promulgation of this administrative regulation is uniform procedures for the administration of elections throughout all of the counties in the Commonwealth.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(1) Identify each state statute, federal statute, or federal regulation that requires or authorizes the action taken by the administrative regulation:

. KRS 117.015(1)(a) and KRS 117.045(8) require and authorize the actions taken by this administrative regulation.

(2) State whether this administrative regulation is expressly authorized by an act of the General Assembly, and if so, identify the act:

This administrative regulation is expressly authorized by the creation of KRS 117.015(a), 2005 Ky. Acts ch. 91, sec. 2.

(3)(a) Identify the promulgating agency and any other affected state units, parts, or divisions:

This administrative regulation will affect the promulgating agency, the State Board of Elections.

(b) Estimate the following for each affected state unit, part, or division identified in (3)(a):

1. Expenditures:

For the first year:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation amendment will cost no more to administer than is currently expended.

For subsequent years:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation amendment will cost no more to administer than is currently expended.

2. Revenues:

For the first year:It is not expected or intended that this administrative regulation will generate any revenue.

For subsequent years:It is not expected or intended that this administrative regulation will generate any revenue.

3. Cost Savings:

For the first year:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation will not generate any specific cost savings.

For subsequent years:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation will not generate any specific cost savings.

(4)(a) Identify affected local entities (for example: cities, counties, fire departments, school districts):

This administrative regulation will affect county boards of election. (b) Estimate the following for the first year:

(b) Estimate the following for each affected local entity identified in (4)(a):

1. Expenditures:

For the first year:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation amendment will cost no more to administer than is currently expended.

For subsequent years:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation amendment will cost no more to administer than is currently expended.

2. Revenues:

For the first year:It is not expected or intended that this administrative regulation will generate any revenue.

For subsequent years:It is not expected or intended that this administrative regulation will generate any revenue.

3. Cost Savings:

For the first year:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation will not generate any specific cost savings for the regulated entities.

For subsequent years:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation will not generate any specific cost savings for the regulated entities.

(5)(a) Identify any affected regulated entities not listed in (3)(a) or (4)(a):

This administrative regulation will affect voters of the Commonwealth and election officers.

(b) Estimate the following for each regulated entity identified in (5)(a):

1. Expenditures:

For the first year:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation amendment will cost no more to administer than is currently expended.

For subsequent years:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation amendment will cost no more to administer than is currently expended.

2. Revenues:

For the first year:It is not expected or intended that this administrative regulation will generate any revenue.

For subsequent years:It is not expected or intended that this administrative regulation will generate any revenue.

3. Cost Savings:

For the first year:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation will not generate any specific cost savings for the regulated entities.

For subsequent years:The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation will not generate any specific cost savings for the regulated entities.

(6) Provide a narrative to explain the following for each entity identified in (3)(a), (4)(a), and (5)(a)

(a) Fiscal impact of this administrative regulation:

The State Board of Elections expects that this administrative regulation will have little to no fiscal impact on the regulated entities, outside those expenditures already undertaken.

(b) Methodology and resources used to reach this conclusion:

This determination of this administrative regulation's fiscal impact is made by the listed contact person and other agency staff based on their collective experience with the subject matter.

(7) Explain, as it relates to the entities identified in (3)(a), (4)(a), and (5)(a):

(a) Whether this administrative regulation will have a "major economic impact", as defined by KRS 13A.010(14):

The State Board of Elections does not expect that this administrative regulation will result in a "major economic impact" as the combined implementation and compliance costs of an administrative regulation are not expected to rise to at least five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) over any two (2) year period.

(b) The methodology and resources used to reach this conclusion:

This conclusion is made by the listed contact person and other agency staff based on their collective experience with the subject matter.