## Local Mandate Fiscal Impact Estimate Kentucky Legislative Research Commission 2015 Regular Session

## **Part I: Measure Information**

Bill Request #: 1418	
Bill #: SB 124 SCS 1	
Bill Subject/Title: AN ACT relating to canines	
Sponsor: Sen. Tom Buford	
U <sub>1</sub>	rban-County nified Local overnment
Office(s) Impacted: Fiscal courts and local governing bodies	
Requirement: x Mandatory Optional	
Effect on Powers & Duties: Modifies Existingx Adds New Eliminates	Existing
Part II: Purpose and Mechanics	
SB 124 SCS creates a new section of KRS Chapter 65 which states that a local governany ordinance, regulation, or policy concerning dog safety and welfare or public safet is not specific as to the breed of dog. The provisions of SB 124 SCS would override a local ordinance, regulation, or policy which is specific to the breed of dog.	ty, so long as it
Part III: Fiscal Explanation, Bill Provisions, and Estimated Cost	
The fiscal impact of SB 124 SCS on local governments is expected to be nil to min	nimal.
Although the exact number of local governments that have ordinances, regulations, or specifically name the breed of a dog is unknown, an official with the Best Friends for Society said that they had identified at least 17 Kentucky counties that have within the least one local government with such ordinances. Upon the effective date of SB 124 ordinances would be unenforceable and would need to be repealed. There is expected minimal administrative costs associated with repealing such ordinances, regulating policies.	Animals eir borders at SCS, these d to be
Data Source(s): LRC staff, Friends of Animal Society, City of Maysville Code E	nforcement
Preparer: Christopher T. Hall Reviewer: MCY Date	2/25/15