Local Government Mandate Statement Kentucky Legislative Research Commission 2024 Regular 2024 Regular Session

Part I: Measure Information

Bill Request #: 862	<u>, </u>		
Bill #: HB 290			
Document ID #: 1911			
Bill Title:	AN ACT relating to cov	erage for substance use dis	sorder.
Sponsor: Representative Josie Raymond			
Unit of Government:		X County X Consolidated Local	Unified Local
Office(s) Impacted: All offices that offer health insurance that provides coverage for substance use disorder for their employees.			
Requirement: X	Mandatory Opti	onal	
Effect on Powers & Duties:	Modifies Existing _	X Adds New E	liminates Existing

Part II: Bill Provisions and the Estimated Fiscal Impact Relating to Local Government

HB 290 creates a new section of subtitle 17A of KRS chapter 304 that requires any health insurance policy, plan, certificate, or contract, including but not limited to a health benefit plan, that provides coverage for substance use disorder to provide coverage for comprehensive supervised substance use disorder treatment. The coverage shall not be limited to a duration of less than six months. If passed, it would take effect on January 1, 2025.

HB 290 would have a negative fiscal impact on local governments. Based on a 2023 Department of Insurance fiscal impact statement of a similar bill, the expected increase in premium for health benefit plans due to HB 290, excluding Medicaid and the state employees' health plan, is approximately \$0.00 to \$3.46 per member per month. The bill will likely not increase the administrative expenses of insurers.

LRC staff reached out to the Kentucky Association of Counties (KACo) and they said it would have an indeterminable impact on counties.

LRC staff reached out to the Kentucky League of Cities (KLC), and KLC believes that HB 290 as proposed would have a negative fiscal impact on Kentucky cities. According to KLC, HB 290 would require additional administrative costs associated with identifying qualified treatment centers, crafting new personnel policies, and may require the employee's position to remain available until the individual returns. This could cause additional costs associated with additional work to other employed staff and possible overtime pay. According to KLC, the additional administrative costs would have a negative fiscal impact on insurance premiums.

Data Source(s): Kentucky Department of Insurance; Kentucky Association of Counties;

Kentucky League of Cities, LRC staff

Preparer: Bart Liguori (MS) **Reviewer:** KHC **Date:** 1/25/24