

**Local Government Mandate Statement  
Kentucky Legislative Research Commission  
2025 Regular Session**

**Part I: Measure Information**

<b>Bill Request #:</b>	363	<b>Bill #:</b>	HB 102
<b>Document ID #:</b>	738	<b>Sponsor:</b>	Rep. Nima Kulkarni
<b>Bill Title:</b>	AN ACT relating to perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances.		

Unit of Government:     City                       County                       Urban-County  
                                   Charter County         Consolidated Local       Unified Local

Office(s) Impacted:    County judges/executives

Requirement:             Mandatory             Optional

Effect on Powers & Duties:     Modifies Existing     Adds New     Eliminates Existing

Other Fiscal Statement(s) that may exist:     Actuarial Analysis             Corrections Impact  
     Health Benefit Mandate     State Employee Health Plan

**Part II: Bill Provisions and the Estimated Fiscal Impact Relating to Local Government**

HB 102 would define PFAS as all perfluoroalkyl or polyfluoroalkyl substances containing at least one (1) fully fluorinated carbon atom. It would also create a new section of KRS Chapter 211 to establish a PFAS Working Group which would be attached to the Cabinet for Health and Family Services for administrative purposes and staff support. Membership would be required to include the county judges/executives of the five counties with the highest PFAS chemical concentrations in their public drinking water treatment plants. The PFAS working group would be required to meet at least three times each year and to annually produce a report.

Manufacturers of products that contain PFAS would be required to annually report the names and amounts of PFAS that they have released into the commonwealth over the previous year to the secretary of the Cabinet for Health and Family Services. The secretary would be required to provide notification of the report to the county judges/executives of the county in which the manufacturer is located. County judges/executives would be required to post notice of the report on the county’s website

and social media pages, as well as inform members of the county's legislative body of the contents of the report.

**The fiscal impact of HB 102 on all county judges/executives due to the new reporting requirements is expected to be minimal.** The reporting requirement impact would be limited to a slight increase in time consumed by fulfilling these requirements.

**The fiscal impact on the five county judges/executives who would be required members of the PFAS working group is also expected to be minimal.** This impact would be limited to travel costs and time spent attending PFAS working group meetings at least three times a year. HB 102 does not provide for reimbursement of travel costs.

**Data Source(s):** LRC Staff

**Preparer:** JB **Reviewer:** MS (MDA) **Date:** 1/15/25